

REMARKS

Receipt of the Office Action of June 22, 2010 is gratefully acknowledged.

This Office Action has reopened prosecution of claims 14 - 19 and 21 - 28. The final rejection of these claims was being reviewed on appeal.

Claims 14 - 19, 21 - 25, 27 and 28 have been rejected under 35 USC 112, second paragraph because of the term "preventing" in claim 14; and claim 26 is rejected under 35 USC 103(a) over Wischinski in view of Havekost et al. Claims 14 - 19, 21 - 25, 27 and 28 are indicated as containing allowable subject matter and would be allowed subject to the rejection under 35 USC 112, second paragraph.

In reply to the above, claim 26 has been cancelled and claim 14 amended to overcome the rejection under 35 USC 112, second paragraph. As such, this application is believed to now be in condition for allowance.

In view of the foregoing, reconsideration and reexamination are respectfully requested and claims 14 - 19, 21 - 25, 27 and 28 should now be allowed.

Respectfully submitted,
BACON & THOMAS, PLLC



Felix J. D'Ambrosio
Attorney for Applicant
Registration Number 25,721

Date: September 22, 2010

Customer Number *23364*
BACON & THOMAS, PLLC
625 Slaters Lane, Fourth Floor
Alexandria, Virginia 22314
Telephone: (703) 683-0500
Facsimile: (703) 683-1080

S:\Producer\fjd\CLIENTS\Endress+Hauser Holding GmbH\DEGR3003-PS0019\Response Sept 22, 2010.wpd